## **Zoning Board of Appeals Application**

Applications Due: 1st Wednesday of every month

4350 Peppermill Road P.O. Box 86 Attica, MI 48412 atticatownship.org



Type of Application: ☐ Val	riance U Interpretation		
Applicant:	Appli	cant Address:	
Phone:	Email:		Fax:
Property ID (Parcel #):		Area of Parc	el:
Parcel Address:		Current Zoning District:	
Description of Request:			
•	on for Request (See back of app s, propose use areas, general loca	•	arking lot, surrounding
Copies of Plot Plan:   Digi	ital Copy 🔲 10 Hard Co	ppies	
☐ A Legal Description of the	e Subject Property (attached)		
are true and accurate to the b	ements, signatures, descriptio est of my knowledge and that I ersigned deposes that foregoin	am authorized to file and ac	t on behalf of all owners of
Applicant Signature:		Date:	
Are you the Legal Owner of Su	bject Property? ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If no, have the property owne	r fill out and sign this portion o	f the application.	
Name:	Signature:		Date:
	sent to Township Officials coming ole for all cost incurred by the Tow		
	Official Use	e Only	
Received By:	Received Date:	Case #:	Fee Paid:
Final Decision By:		cision Date:	
Conditions/Notes:			

Applicant:	Applicant Address:	
Written Description of Reason for Request:		
Per Section 14.70.B. are the star	ndards for approval of a variance. Please write how you meet all five standards.	
-	ies or unnecessary hardships which prevent carrying out the strict letter of this ficulties shall not be deemed economic, but shall be evaluated in terms of the	
shallowness, shape, or topograph	s because of unique circumstances or physical conditions such as narrowness, by of the property involved, or to the intended use of the property, that does not by uses in the same zoning district.	
	nditions or circumstances do not result from actions of the applicant. That the m amount necessary to mitigate the hardship.	
substantial adverse effect upon su	nony with the general purpose and intent of this Ordinance and will not cause a irrounding property, property values, and the use and enjoyment of property in the er variance would give substantial relief and be more consistent with justice to	
•	provision as written would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the or would render conformity unnecessarily burdensome.	